

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : St.Francis Women Fishing Community (Pty) Ltd
SCRN00029**

EXCLUSIONARY CRITERIA

Application was signed and commissioned		Audit report	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Applicant is a company or CC		More than 50% SA Owned	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Demonstrated Access to a suitable vessel		The applicant has not responded Yes to 3.1.1, 3.2 or 3.3	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	

COMPARATIVE BALANCING CRITERIA

Catch Utilisation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	0
Grounds: Appellant have invested in the industry in that it incurred considerable expenses in training, the establishment of the legal entity, cost of the application together with the cost of securing the right to acquire a percentage ownership on the vessel upon which it wishes to exploit the right should it be successful.		Recommendation: Training, establishment of the entity and cost of the application not considered as investments made by appellant. Appellant did not invest in a vessel yet but rather the investment is conditional upon successful allocation of a right. Appellant was correctly assessed and scored.	
Current Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Quick Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
RONA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : St.Francis Women Fishing Community (Pty) Ltd
SCRN00029**

Average Current Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average Quick Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average RONA in 2004 (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ability to finance entry into this fishery			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		20	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Safety Assessment completed			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Contravention of the Marine Pollution Act			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
HIV Policy in place			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Job Creation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	0
Grounds: Appellant claims that it has created jobs in that the appellant will directly employ its 5 directors who are also shareholders. Annexure 1A attached listing the names of the relevant crew members who will be working on the vessel and an additional 9 land based jobs will be created as per annexure 2A.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored as directors not recognised as employees and intended jobs not recognised.	
Fishing Ability			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		10	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Environmentally Sustainable Practice			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : St.Francis Women Fishing Community (Pty) Ltd
SCRN00029**

Ratio of Black Directors to White Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
1		4.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Directors to Male Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
1		4.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Top Salary Earners to White Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	0
Grounds: Appellant confirms that as all of its directors are black females and contends it should have been awarded 3 points for this section.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored since directors not recognised as employees.	
Ratio of Female Top Salary Earners to Male Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
EE ACI Performance Score			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		0	0
Grounds: Appellant confirms that it currently has no formal employees and is satisfied with the Department's score of 0 but however contends that the 5 directors are indirectly employees of the appellant and also lists employees who will be employed by the appellant should it be successful in the allocation of a right. Appellant should have been awarded 2 points.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored as directors not recognised as employees and intended jobs not recognised.	
EE Female Performance			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		0	
Black Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
100		16	0
Grounds: Appellant does not agree with the allocation of 16 points as it contends that all its shareholders and directors are black females and therefore it should have been awarded 22 points.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored as applicants were scored on a percentile ranking.	
Female Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
100		9	0
Grounds: Appellant contends that it should have been awarded the full 10 points as it is 100%female owned. The appellant contends that it should have been awarded 10 points.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored as applicants were scored using percentile rankings.	
Employee Share Scheme			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : St.Francis Women Fishing Community (Pty) Ltd
SCRN00029**

Skills Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Corporate Social Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Affirmative Procurement Policy			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Black Suppliers Used			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant is a designated employer and has failed to comply with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant voluntarily complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y	N	0	0
Grounds: Appellant confirms that it has voluntarily complied as is evident from the attached employment equity report as included in the original application form. The appellant contends that it should have been awarded 0.5 points.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored since no attached proof can be found to appellant's application form.	
Charged with offence under the MRLA, its Regulations or permit conditions			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Admission of Guilt Fine			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Plea bargain			

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : St.Francis Women Fishing Community (Pty) Ltd
SCRN00029**

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Local Economic Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	0
Grounds: Appellant states that due to the size of the vessel and the offloading facilities, appellant can only offload at Port Elizabeth harbour. The appellant will however transport the product to the Port St Francis area (Humansdorp processing facility). Due to the above appellant should receive 1 point.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored since it does not offload outside Cape Town or Port Elizabeth harbour.	
Enterprise Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Reliance on other entities			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Total Original Score		Quantum Allocated	
Total Original Score	Appeal Total Score	Quantum Allocated	Appeal Quantum Allocated
65.5	69.5		
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Minister Comments:		Final Score	Final Quantum Allocation

Delegated Authority: Mr Andre Share _____
Date: 2006/06/26

Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism: M van Schalkwyk _____
Date:

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : CALAMARI FISHING (PTY)LTD SCRNO0014**

EXCLUSIONARY CRITERIA

Application was signed and commissioned		Audit report	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Applicant is a company or CC		More than 50% SA Owned	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Demonstrated Access to a suitable vessel		The applicant has not responded Yes to 3.1.1, 3.2 or 3.3	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	

COMPARATIVE BALANCING CRITERIA

Catch Utilisation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y	N	0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Current Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
173.06		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Quick Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
120.14		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
RONA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
29		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average Current Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
171.42		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : CALAMARI FISHING (PTY)LTD SCRNO0014**

Average Quick Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
132.07		4	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average RONA in 2004 (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
41.0000		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ability to finance entry into this fishery			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Safety Assessment completed			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Contravention of the Marine Pollution Act			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
HIV Policy in place			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Job Creation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Fishing Ability			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		10	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Environmentally Sustainable Practice			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y	N	0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Directors to White Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Directors to Male Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Top Salary Earners to White Top Salary Earners			

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : CALAMARI FISHING (PTY)LTD SCRNO0014**

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Top Salary Earners to Male Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
EE ACI Performance Score			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.8475		-1	
Grounds: The Chief Director erred in penalising the appellant by awarding it a negative score in scoring aspects of Employment Equity, notwithstanding full compliance with the EEA and positive substantive steps taken in relation to Employment Equity. It appears that negative scores were used in an attempt to compare applicants that have employees with those that have none. This basis of scoring is fundamentally flawed. In essence the negative scoring mechanism has rewarded applicants that have not yet created a single job and has penalised applicants that have created jobs, have employed historically disadvantaged people and have complied fully with EEA. The negative score should be reversed to avoid an unfair result.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored for being below the 33.3 rd percentile.	
EE Female Performance			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.9027		-1	
Grounds: The Chief Director erred in penalising the appellant by awarding it a negative score in scoring aspects of Employment Equity, notwithstanding full compliance with the EEA and positive substantive steps taken in relation to Employment Equity. It appears that negative scores were used in an attempt to compare applicants that have employees with those that have none. This basis of scoring is fundamentally flawed. In essence the negative scoring mechanism has rewarded applicants that have not yet created a single job and has penalised applicants that have created jobs, have employed historically disadvantaged people and have complied fully with EEA. The negative score should be reversed to avoid an unfair result.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored for being below the 33.3 rd percentile.	
Black Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
37.25		6	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Female Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
36.15		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Employee Share Scheme			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : CALAMARI FISHING (PTY)LTD SCRNO0014**

Skills Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Corporate Social Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0.5	1
Grounds: The appellant made non-tax deductible donation that amounted to greater than 1% of its net profit earned in the 2004 financial year. According to the General Reasons, applicants that donated more than 1% of their net profit ought to have been awarded 1 point.		Recommendation: Appellant to be scored 1 point.	
Affirmative Procurement Policy			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Black Suppliers Used			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant is a designated employer and has failed to comply with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant voluntarily complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Charged with offence under the MRLA, its Regulations or permit conditions			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Admission of Guilt Fine			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Plea bargain			

SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS			
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : CALAMARI FISHING (PTY)LTD SCRNO0014			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Local Economic Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Enterprise Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Reliance on other entities			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Total Original Score		Quantum Allocated	
Total Original Score	Appeal Total Score	Quantum Allocated	Appeal Quantum Allocated
55.5	54.5		
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Minister Comments:		Final Score	Final Quantum Allocation

Delegated Authority: Mr Andre Share _____

Date: 2006/06/26

Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism: M van Schalkwyk _____

Date:

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Capenis Investments (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0011**

EXCLUSIONARY CRITERIA

Application was signed and commissioned		Audit report	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Applicant is a company or CC		More than 50% SA Owned	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Demonstrated Access to a suitable vessel		The applicant has not responded Yes to 3.1.1, 3.2 or 3.3	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	

COMPARATIVE BALANCING CRITERIA

Catch Utilisation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y	N	0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Current Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
11			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Quick Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
11			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
RONA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
80		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average Current Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
30.5			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Capenis Investments (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0011**

Average Quick Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
30.5			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average RONA in 2004 (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
55.0000		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ability to finance entry into this fishery			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Safety Assessment completed			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Contravention of the Marine Pollution Act			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
HIV Policy in place			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Job Creation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Fishing Ability			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		15	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Environmentally Sustainable Practice			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Directors to White Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
1		4.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Directors to Male Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Top Salary Earners to White Top Salary Earners			

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Capenis Investments (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0011**

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.75		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Top Salary Earners to Male Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		-1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
EE ACI Performance Score			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.1271		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
EE Female Performance			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-1.1943		-1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Black Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
83.55		16	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Female Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
29.75		3	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Employee Share Scheme			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Skills Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Corporate Social Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Affirmative Procurement Policy			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Black Suppliers Used			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Capenis Investments (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0011**

Applicant complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y	N	-3	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant is a designated employer and has failed to comply with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant voluntarily complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
	N		
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Charged with offence under the MRLA, its Regulations or permit conditions			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Admission of Guilt Fine			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Plea bargain			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Local Economic Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Enterprise Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Reliance on other entities			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Total Original Score		Quantum Allocated	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Capenis Investments (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0011**

Total Original Score	Appeal Total Score	Quantum Allocated	Appeal Quantum Allocated
59	69		
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Minister Comments:		Final Score	Final Quantum Allocation

Delegated Authority: Mr Andre Share _____
Date: 2006/06/26

Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism: M van Schalkwyk _____
Date:

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Hacky Fishing (Pty) Ltd. SCRNO0030**

EXCLUSIONARY CRITERIA

Application was signed and commissioned		Audit report	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Applicant is a company or CC		More than 50% SA Owned	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Demonstrated Access to a suitable vessel		The applicant has not responded Yes to 3.1.1, 3.2 or 3.3	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	

COMPARATIVE BALANCING CRITERIA

Catch Utilisation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Current Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
79.59		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Quick Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
79.59		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
RONA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-10.05			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average Current Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
31.6425			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Hacky Fishing (Pty) Ltd. SCRNO0030**

Average Quick Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
31.6425		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average RONA in 2004 (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-10.3575			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ability to finance entry into this fishery			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
			0
Grounds: Appellant submits that it was prejudicially scored and that the subjective scoring utilised by the DA to assess its financial performance in comparison to other new entrants is extremely limited and in no way provides an accurate or fair assessment of applicant's financial ability. The use of financial ratios in the purported assessment of the financial performance of the Appellant is totally inadequate. The ratios of various new entrant applicants cannot meaningfully be compared with each other and such a comparison certainly will not give any realistic indication as to which new entrant applicants are best placed to perform in the SCRL sector. The appellant should be scored 20 points for financial performance.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored on its ratios since it has been trading and have held rights during the medium term rights period.	
Safety Assessment completed			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Contravention of the Marine Pollution Act			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
HIV Policy in place			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Job Creation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Fishing Ability			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	0
Grounds: The only possible reason for the appellant scoring 0 out of 15 points for this criteria can be due to the DA determining that its vessel was unsuitable. If this was the DA's finding then the appellant disputes this finding and		Recommendation: The appellant was correctly assessed and scored in accordance with the suitable vessel requirements in the SCRL sector specific policy.	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Hacky Fishing (Pty) Ltd. SCRNO0030**

submits that the vessel it has nominated is suitable to be used in this sector. The vessel nominated by the appellant was the MFV "Golden Eagle" which complied with the requirements for suitable vessel as they appear at page 11 of the Minister's SCRL policy. The only departure from the Minister's policy in the appellant's application was that it stated that the vessel currently operates with a crew of 16 and not 30 as specified. Annexure B by Naval Architects CC states that the vessel can accommodate 30 crew.

Environmentally Sustainable Practice			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Directors to White Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
1		4.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Directors to Male Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.5			
Grounds: A manual recalculation of the mean shows that the mean to be 0.3487 and therefore the appellant did score above the mean and should have scored an additional 4.5 points. The reason for the miscalculation of the mean by the DA is due to the fact that applicants with ratios of zero were omitted from the mean calculation thereby incorrectly reducing the population and leading to an inflated mean. Scores of zero are still scores and must be included in the population for mean purposes.		Recommendation: System to calculate.	
Ratio of Black Top Salary Earners to White Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.3333		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Top Salary Earners to Male Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		-1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
EE ACI Performance Score			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.1102		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
EE Female Performance			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.3501		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Black Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
100		16	
Grounds: The maximum number of points available in this category was 22 points. The appellant is a 100% black		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly scored and assessed. Points were awarded based on percentile	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Hacky Fishing (Pty) Ltd. SCRNO0030**

owned company and scored 16 points out of 22. The reason for this appears to be the fact that 100% black ownership placed applicants in the 60th to 70th percentile and accordingly the maximum points that could be scored in this category was in fact 16 points. The appellant submits that its score should be increased to the maximum available points or alternatively if the Minister insists on applying the statistical model utilised by the DA then the total that applicants were scored out of should be reduced from 100 to 94. Appellant submits that regardless of which change the Minister elects to make it will have the effect of increasing the it's score by 6 points.

rankings.

Female Ownership

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
35		4	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Employee Share Scheme

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		-2	-1
Grounds: The appellant was incorrectly scored –2 for not having an employee share scheme while the maximum negative score was –1.		Recommendation: Score to be changed to -1.	

Skills Development

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		-1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Corporate Social Investment

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Affirmative Procurement Policy

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Black Suppliers Used

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Applicant complied with the EEA

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Applicant is a designated employer and has failed to comply with the EEA

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Hacky Fishing (Pty) Ltd. SCRNO0030**

Applicant voluntarily complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y	N		
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Charged with offence under the MRLA, its Regulations or permit conditions			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Admission of Guilt Fine			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Plea bargain			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Local Economic Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Enterprise Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Reliance on other entities			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Total Original Score		Quantum Allocated	
Total Original Score	Appeal Total Score	Quantum Allocated	Appeal Quantum Allocated
42.5	64		
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Minister Comments:		Final Score	Final Quantum Allocation

Delegated Authority: Mr Andre Share _____
Date: 2006/06/26

Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism: M van Schalkwyk _____
Date:

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Mast Fishing (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0040**

EXCLUSIONARY CRITERIA

Application was signed and commissioned		Audit report	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Applicant is a company or CC		More than 50% SA Owned	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Demonstrated Access to a suitable vessel		The applicant has not responded Yes to 3.1.1, 3.2 or 3.3	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
COMPARATIVE BALANCING CRITERIA			
Catch Utilisation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N	Y	0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Current Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.09			
Grounds: The ratios were not expressed as a percentage and in addition the RONA for 2003 and 2004 was incorrectly given as a negative instead of a positive.		Recommendation: Appellant's ratios to be amended as per the attached amended annexure B and as confirmed by the appellant's auditors. System to calculate.	
Quick Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.09			
Grounds: The ratios were not expressed as a percentage and in addition the RONA for 2003 and 2004 was incorrectly given as a negative instead of a positive.		Recommendation: Appellant's ratios to be amended as per the attached amended annexure B and as confirmed by the appellant's auditors. System to calculate.	
RONA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-7			

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Mast Fishing (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0040**

Grounds: The ratios were not expressed as a percentage and in addition the RONA for 2003 and 2004 was incorrectly given as a negative instead of a positive.		Recommendation: Appellant's ratios to be amended as per the attached amended annexure B and as confirmed by the appellant's auditors. System to calculate.	
Average Current Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.4925			
Grounds: The ratios were not expressed as a percentage and in addition the RONA for 2003 and 2004 was incorrectly given as a negative instead of a positive.		Recommendation: Appellant's ratios to be amended as per the attached amended annexure B and as confirmed by the appellant's auditors. System to calculate.	
Average Quick Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.4925			
Grounds: The ratios were not expressed as a percentage and in addition the RONA for 2003 and 2004 was incorrectly given as a negative instead of a positive.		Recommendation: Appellant's ratios to be amended as per the attached amended annexure B and as confirmed by the appellant's auditors. System to calculate.	
Average RONA in 2004 (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-2.3750			
Grounds: The ratios were not expressed as a percentage and in addition the RONA for 2003 and 2004 was incorrectly given as a negative instead of a positive.		Recommendation: Appellant's ratios to be amended as per the attached amended annexure B and as confirmed by the appellant's auditors. System to calculate.	
Ability to finance entry into this fishery			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Safety Assessment completed			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Contravention of the Marine Pollution Act			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
HIV Policy in place			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Job Creation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Mast Fishing (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0040**

Fishing Ability			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		15	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Environmentally Sustainable Practice			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Directors to White Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.75			
Grounds: A manual recalculation of the mean shows the mean to be 0.511 and therefore the appellant did score above the mean and should have scored an additional 4.5 points. The reason for this miscalculation of the mean by the DA is due to the fact that applicants with ratios of zero were omitted from the mean calculation.		Recommendation: System to calculate.	
Ratio of Female Directors to Male Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.75	0.625	4.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Top Salary Earners to White Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		-2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Top Salary Earners to Male Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		-1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
EE ACI Performance Score			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.4304		-1	
Grounds: Without a clear explanation as to score calculation method, the appellant is unable to determine why if 75% of the workforce is black it scored the lowest possible score and requests its score to be reconsidered.		Recommendation: System to calculate.	
EE Female Performance			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.8013		-1	
Grounds: Without a clear explanation as to score calculation method, the appellant is unable to determine why if 75% of the workforce is black it scored the lowest possible score and requests its score to be reconsidered.		Recommendation: System to calculate.	
Black Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
71.28		10	0
Grounds: The maximum number of points available in this category was 22 points. The appellant is a 71.28% black owned company and scored 10 points out of 22. The reason for this appears to be the fact that 71.28% black ownership placed applicants in the 30 th to 40 th percentile. However even		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored.	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Mast Fishing (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0040**

with 100% black ownership the maximum points that could be scored in this category was in fact 16 points. The appellant submits that its score should be increased to the maximum available points or alternatively if the Minister insists on applying the statistical model utilised by the DA then the total that applicants were scored out of should be reduced from 100 to 94. Appellant submits that regardless of which change the Minister elects to make it will have the effect of increasing the its score by 6 points.

Female Ownership

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
28.84		2	
<p>Grounds: The appellant scored 2 out of a possible 10 points. Appellant was placed in the 10th to 20th percentile even though 3 out of the 5 scores lower than the appellant in this category were zero scores. The reason for this miscalculation by the DA is due to the fact that applicants with ratios of zero were omitted from the percentile placement calculations. Accordingly the appellant challenge its scoring under this category and requests a reconsideration and recalculation of its score.</p>		<p>Recommendation: System to calculate</p>	

Employee Share Scheme

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		-2	-1
<p>Grounds: The appellant was incorrectly scored –2 for not having an employee share scheme while the maximum negative score was –1. As the appellant has no direct employees of its own it should have been scored 0 points.</p>		<p>Recommendation: Score to be adjusted to -1.</p>	

Skills Development

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		-1	1
<p>Grounds: The appellant was incorrectly scored –1. As the appellant has no direct employees of its own it should have been scored 0 points. Its score should therefore by 1 point at least. However the appellant being a 50% shareholder in its joint venture partner, Umsobomvu Fishing, it is entitled to present joint venture information under this category. Attaches as annexure C a copy of the table at 6.10 and also as annexure C1 and annexure P reflecting the breakdown of skills development information of both the appellant and its joint venture partner, Umsobomvu Fishing. On this basis the appellant should score 1 point and thus if the skills development information is accepted the appellant’s score should increase by 2 points.</p>		<p>Recommendation: Appellant’s skills development information to be amended as per the attached amended annexure C and C1.</p>	

Corporate Social Investment

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	1
<p>Grounds: The scoring mechanism under this category is incorrect. It is arbitrary for only tax-deductible donations to be credited and not non-taxable deductions as well. Where applicants make a donation, which has no tax relief they should be rewarded more than those who make tax-deductible donations. Appellant should score 1 point.</p>		<p>Recommendation: Applicant to be awarded 1 point.</p>	

Affirmative Procurement Policy

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Mast Fishing (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0040**

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Black Suppliers Used			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant is a designated employer and has failed to comply with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant voluntarily complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Charged with offence under the MRLA, its Regulations or permit conditions			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Admission of Guilt Fine			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		-1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Plea bargain			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Local Economic Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Enterprise Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : Mast Fishing (Pty) Ltd SCRNO0040**

Grounds: Appellant submits that sufficient information was supplied for a score of 1 point. Appellant attaches annexure D which is a copy of annexure 11B of it's application which confirms substantial enterprise development.

Recommendation: Does not qualify as enterprise development.

Reliance on other entities

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Total Original Score		Quantum Allocated	
Total Original Score	Appeal Total Score	Quantum Allocated	Appeal Quantum Allocated
37	48.5		
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Minister Comments:		Final Score	Final Quantum Allocation

Delegated Authority: Mr Andre Share _____
Date: 2006/06/26

Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism: M van Schalkwyk _____
Date:

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : TRADE MOTTO 106 (PTY) LTD SCRNO0047**

EXCLUSIONARY CRITERIA

Application was signed and commissioned		Audit report	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Applicant is a company or CC		More than 50% SA Owned	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Demonstrated Access to a suitable vessel		The applicant has not responded Yes to 3.1.1, 3.2 or 3.3	
Assessment	N	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	

COMPARATIVE BALANCING CRITERIA

Catch Utilisation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	5
Grounds: The DA has fundamentally erred in expecting the appellant to provide figures for the book value and insured value of its assets. Appellant stated in its application that it made investment in a processing facility of R7.4 million which is reflected at pages 3 and 6 of its annual financial statements annexed to its application form. In terms of the explanatory notes paragraph 9 "only assets used in the sector concerned...may be taken into account when completing sections 9.1.1 and 9.1.2". Accordingly it was not expected to provide information in relation to the book or insured value of its assets utilised in other sectors. However the assets utilised in other sectors ought to have been taken into account when determining the acceptability of its investment. Ought to have been treated the same as Capensis, Calamari Fishing and Mast Fishing who scored 5 points despite having no investment in the SCRL sector.		Recommendation: Appellant to be awarded 5 points.	

Current Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.46			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : TRADE MOTTO 106 (PTY) LTD SCRNO0047**

Quick Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.41			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
RONA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average Current Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.23			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average Quick Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.205			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average RONA in 2004 (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.0000		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ability to finance entry into this fishery			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds: It is totally mystifying why the appellant were not awarded the full score of 20 points. In the assessment form it is stated that "Applicant has several bank finance arrangements subject to right being granted. Applicant is also operating a processing factory. Score 20 points". Appellant was correctly assessed but this assessment was not translated into the ultimate scoring. Appellant ought to have scored the full 20 points.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored using its ratios since the appellant was not scored using the criteria for newly established entities.	
Safety Assessment completed			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Contravention of the Marine Pollution Act			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
HIV Policy in place			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Job Creation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : TRADE MOTTO 106 (PTY) LTD SCRNO0047**

Fishing Ability			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
<p>Grounds: The DA failed to take into account the fact that it acquired a vessel which was able, once converted to catch SCRL. Once converted the vessel will have a capability of 2000 traps. Furthermore, the DA has failed to take into consideration the very extensive experience and knowledge of appellants' personnel. Clearly new entrant applicants cannot be expected to have a vessel in the SCRL sector pending the award of a right. Capensis Investments were awarded 15 points for access to a suitable vessel and experience of Mr De Freitas in the fishery. Appellant should have been awarded 10 points for having access to a suitable vessel that will be able after its conversion to catch and carry 2000 traps.</p>		<p>Recommendation: The SCRL sector specific policy is clear in that a suitable vessels for this fishery is a vessel that – has a minimum SAMSA registered length of approximately 25 metres; is fitted with a functioning vessel monitoring system ("VMS"); is capable of deploying lines of approximately one mile long and 100 traps; is capable of storing at least 1 000 traps; is capable of carrying the necessary winches; and is capable of carrying approximately 30 or more crew members. At date of application the appellant did not meet the essential requirements and was therefore correctly assessed, scored and excluded on that basis.</p>	
Environmentally Sustainable Practice			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y	N	0	
<p>Grounds: Appellant's major complaint concerns the totally inconsistent approach adopted by the DA. For example Mast Fishing was awarded 2 points because one of its directors is vice-chairman of the SA Squid Management Industrial Association and one of its partly owned subsidiaries has made vessels available for squid research. Similarly, Hacky Fishing was given the full points on the basis of a purported contribution to Bird Life International. Appellant ought to have been awarded the full 2 points since its Chairman Abe Esau, is chairman of the SA Pelagic Fishing Industry Association.</p>		<p>Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored since Mast Fishing was scored for making its vessels available for closed season research. Appellant's position is thus different to that of Mast Fishing and Hacky Fishing.</p>	
Ratio of Black Directors to White Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
1		4.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Directors to Male Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Top Salary Earners to White Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.5	0.33	0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Top Salary Earners to Male Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.25	0.33	1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
EE ACI Performance Score			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.0636		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : TRADE MOTTO 106 (PTY) LTD SCRNO0047**

EE Female Performance			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-1.3282		-1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Black Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
80.42		12	
Grounds: The DA erred fundamentally in relation to appellant's black ownership. On the score sheet itself the figure "20" is ringed and this suggests that it ought to have been awarded 20 points. 20 Points is the score, which attaches to an entity placed in the 80 th to 90 th percentile, which is where the appellant ought to have been placed. For some inexplicable reason the DA placed the appellant in the 40 th to 50 th percentile, which attracted a score of 12 points. This was patently done in error.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored since the appellant was scored using percentile rankings.	
Female Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
30.25		4	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Employee Share Scheme			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		-2	-1
Grounds: For some unexplained reason the appellant were given a score of minus 2 while the scoring only permits a score of minus 1.		Recommendation: Appellant's score to be adjusted to -1.	
Skills Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Corporate Social Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Affirmative Procurement Policy			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Black Suppliers Used			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y	N	-3	0.5
Grounds: As a consequence of a typing error on the part of the appellant's accountants the appellant's accountants		Recommendation: Letter addressed to the RVU attached to appellant's appeal. Appellant's score to be	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : TRADE MOTTO 106 (PTY) LTD SCRNO0047**

addressed a letter to the RVU dated 28 November 2005 informing them of the typing error. Had the typing error not been made, the appellant would not have forfeited the 3 points in relation to its designated status and would have been awarded 0.5 points for compliance with the EEA.

amended to 0.5.

Applicant is a designated employer and has failed to comply with the EEA

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Applicant voluntarily complied with the EEA

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Charged with offence under the MRLA, its Regulations or permit conditions

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Admission of Guilt Fine

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Plea bargain

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Local Economic Development

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

Enterprise Development

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds: The Policy does not deal specifically with enterprise development. The DA appears to have taken no account of the fact that appellant's newly erected factory purchases all its capital materials and employs all its contractors from the area in which it is situated, namely the economically depressed Eastern Cape. These contributions ought to have been taken into account by the DA and appellant's score should have increased by 1 point.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored, as the information provided does not qualify as enterprise development.	

Reliance on other entities

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : TRADE MOTTO 106 (PTY) LTD SCRNO0047**

		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Total Original Score		Quantum Allocated	
Total Original Score	Appeal Total Score	Quantum Allocated	Appeal Quantum Allocated
27	46.5		
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Minister Comments:		Final Score	Final Quantum Allocation

Delegated Authority: Mr Andre Share _____
Date: 2006/06/26

Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism: M van Schalkwyk _____
Date:

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : DYER EILAND VISSERYE (PTY) LTD SCRNO0054**

EXCLUSIONARY CRITERIA

Application was signed and commissioned		Audit report	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Applicant is a company or CC		More than 50% SA Owned	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Demonstrated Access to a suitable vessel		The applicant has not responded Yes to 3.1.1, 3.2 or 3.3	
Assessment	N	Assessment	Y
<p>Grounds: The vessel has a functional vessel monitoring system and has been reporting to the Department's base stations as from 26 July 2005. Provides copy of invoice as annexure A as well as a report from the Department's base station as annexure B dated 26 July 2005. Furthermore the DA erred in noting that the MFV Amoria was not registered to operate in the SA EEZ. The vessel is registered and attaches copies of the Local Fishing Vessel License and the certificate of South African Registry as well as a copy of the Local Fishing Vessel License issued on 19 August 2005 which is valid until 17 July 2006. The vessel is capable of carrying a total crew of 28 if the necessary modifications are done. It should be noted that 2 of the nominated vessels which were approved by the DA are not capable of accommodating 30 crew and the appellant is of opinion that the number of crew depends on the fishing operation and that should not be penalised. It is furthermore sound business practice not to do the necessary modifications before the appellant has been notified of a provisional granting of a quota. Appellant should be scored 20 points.</p>		Grounds:	
<p>Recommendation: The appellant's vessel did not meet all of the essential requirements as per the SCRL sector specific policy. As at date of application the vessel should be capable of carrying approximately 30 or more crew members and the appellant's vessel does not meet this requirement as the necessary modifications is still to be made. The appellant was thus correctly assessed and scored.</p>		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
COMPARATIVE BALANCING CRITERIA			
Catch Utilisation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y	N	0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : DYER EILAND VISSERYE (PTY) LTD SCRNO0054**

		5	0
Grounds: Appellant submits that it was scored –5 points for this section and that this should be amended to 5.	Recommendation: The appellant is incorrect in its assessment as it correctly scored 5 points for this section.		
Current Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.5			
Grounds:	Recommendation:		
Quick Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.5			
Grounds:	Recommendation:		
RONA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.022			
Grounds: The appellant should not be unduly penalised for a shorter lower RONA if the long-term aim of a continuously viable enterprise and long-term employer is considered.	Recommendation: Noted		
Average Current Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.2925			
Grounds:	Recommendation:		
Average Quick Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.2925			
Grounds:	Recommendation:		
Average RONA in 2004 (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.0275		5	
Grounds: The appellant should not be unduly penalised for a shorter lower RONA if the long-term aim of a continuously viable enterprise and long-term employer is considered.	Recommendation: Noted		
Ability to finance entry into this fishery			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds: Appellant submits that it is understandable why it scored zero as the appellant is an established player in the fishing industry with a long history of capital investment, job employment and having a proven sustainable record.	Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored in terms of its ratios. This criterion was used to score newly established entities unlike the appellant with a long history of involvement in the fishing industry.		
Safety Assessment completed			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N			
Grounds: The appellant failed to include the safety assessment certificate, which was an oversight and now includes the certificate as annexure J. should score 0.25 points.	Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored since this criterion was not used in scoring new entrant applicants but only medium term right holder applicants.		

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : DYER EILAND VISSERYE (PTY) LTD SCRNO0054**

Contravention of the Marine Pollution Act			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
HIV Policy in place			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Job Creation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Fishing Ability			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Environmentally Sustainable Practice			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Directors to White Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.2857			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Directors to Male Directors			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0.4286			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Black Top Salary Earners to White Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		-2	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ratio of Female Top Salary Earners to Male Top Salary Earners			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		-1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
EE ACI Performance Score			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.7006		-1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
EE Female Performance			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
-0.6894		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Black Ownership			

SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS			
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : DYER EILAND VISSERYE (PTY) LTD SCRNO0054			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
28		4	
Grounds: A total weighting of 8 should have been granted for applicants with black ownership falling between the 25 th to 50 th percentiles.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored since its ownership of 28% falls between the 1 st and 10 th percentile according to the percentile ranking used.	
Female Ownership			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
47.14		7	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Employee Share Scheme			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		-2	
Grounds: The appellant's score should have been -1 since it does have employees but does not have a share scheme due to the unviable allocation received since 2001.		Recommendation: Appellant's score to be amended to -1.	
Skills Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Corporate Social Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Affirmative Procurement Policy			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		1	0
Grounds: Appellant was scored zero although it does procure from black suppliers and it should therefore be awarded 1 point.		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored since applicants were scored for either having an affirmative procurement policy or for procuring from suppliers with black shareholding. See footnote 14 of the General Published reasons on page 17.	
Black Suppliers Used			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y	N	-3	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant is a designated employer and has failed to comply with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant voluntarily complied with the EEA			

SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS			
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : DYER EILAND VISSERYE (PTY) LTD SCRNO0054			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Charged with offence under the MRLA, its Regulations or permit conditions			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Admission of Guilt Fine			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Plea bargain			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Local Economic Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	1
Grounds: Appellant states that the policy was not clear that it could land its allocated catch at Gansbaai where the appellant invested over R35 million to date on vessels, equipment, economic development, creating job employment and processing facility. A summarised annexure I setting out appellant's local economic development actions in the Gansbaai area is annexed and submits that 1 point should be added.		Recommendation: Appellant to land its catches at the Gansbaai harbour to be recognised and 1 point to be added.	
Enterprise Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Reliance on other entities			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Total Original Score		Quantum Allocated	
Total Original Score	Appeal Total Score	Quantum Allocated	Appeal Quantum Allocated
18.5	29		
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Minister Comments:		Final Score	Final Quantum

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: : DYER EILAND VISSERYE (PTY) LTD SCRNO0054**

		Allocation

Delegated Authority: Mr Andre Share _____
Date: 2006/06/26

Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism: M van Schalkwyk _____
Date:

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: QUANTUM LEAP PROJECTS (PTY) LTD SCRNO0049**

EXCLUSIONARY CRITERIA

Application was signed and commissioned		Audit report	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Applicant is a company or CC		More than 50% SA Owned	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	
Demonstrated Access to a suitable vessel		The applicant has not responded Yes to 3.1.1, 3.2 or 3.3	
Assessment	Y	Assessment	Y
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Appeal Assessment:		Appeal Assessment:	

COMPARATIVE BALANCING CRITERIA

Catch Utilisation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Current Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Quick Ratio			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
RONA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average Current Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: QUANTUM LEAP PROJECTS (PTY) LTD SCRNO0049**

Average Quick Ratio (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Average RONA in 2004 (over four year period)			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Ability to finance entry into this fishery			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds: Appellant's financial ability was fully addressed in annexure 12B to its application. This annexure includes detailed background of the vessel MFV Carina and quotation breaking down the refurbishment and equipment required to make the vessel fully suitable for SCRL fishing. Letter from ABSA also annexed stating that they would make finance available subject to a tonnage being awarded. This together with two other charters willing to contribute their own capital clearly demonstrates financial ability and should have been awarded 20 points.		Recommendation: Information submitted does not demonstrate financial ability to enter this fishery.	
Safety Assessment completed			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N			
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Contravention of the Marine Pollution Act			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
HIV Policy in place			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		0.5	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Job Creation			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Fishing Ability			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	0
Grounds: Given the vast industry experience of the shareholders and directors, the appellant feels aggrieved that zero points was allocated for fishing ability. Annexures 1A, 13A and 13B to its application is annexed hereto which provides a comprehensive overview of the envisaged and intended business strategies, plans and operations and provides details of a comprehensive and well researched fishing plan compiled by shareholder and director, Dewald Lourens. These annexures also provide the experience and knowledge of the participating shareholders and directors in		Recommendation: Appellant was correctly assessed and scored as at date of application the vessel nominated by the appellant was not suitable as per the SCRL sector specific policy and it still had to be refurbished. The references made to Messrs Oktober and Kramer was incorrectly made.	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: QUANTUM LEAP PROJECTS (PTY) LTD SCRNO0049**

considerable detail. Appellant does not understand the references to Messrs Oktober and Kramer on its assessment form as these gentlemen have nothing to do with the appellant's organisation.

Environmentally Sustainable Practice

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	

Grounds: Recommendation:

Ratio of Black Directors to White Directors

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
.75			

Grounds: Appellant does not understand why no points were allocated. Recommendation: System to calculate.

Ratio of Female Directors to Male Directors

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
.5			

Grounds: Appellant does not understand why no points were allocated. Recommendation: System to calculate.

Ratio of Black Top Salary Earners to White Top Salary Earners

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		0	

Grounds: Recommendation:

Ratio of Female Top Salary Earners to Male Top Salary Earners

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		0	

Grounds: Recommendation:

EE ACI Performance Score

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		0	

Grounds: Recommendation:

EE Female Performance

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
0		0	

Grounds: Recommendation:

Black Ownership

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
.70		8	

Grounds: Recommendation:

Female Ownership

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
.45		6	

Grounds: Recommendation:

Employee Share Scheme

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	

Grounds: Recommendation:

Skills Development

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: QUANTUM LEAP PROJECTS (PTY) LTD SCRNO0049**

Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Corporate Social Investment			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Affirmative Procurement Policy			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
Y		1	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Black Suppliers Used			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant is a designated employer and has failed to comply with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Applicant voluntarily complied with the EEA			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Charged with offence under the MRLA, its Regulations or permit conditions			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Admission of Guilt Fine			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Plea bargain			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
N		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	

**SOUTH COAST ROCK LOBSTER NEW ENTRANTS
R5(3) REPORT FOR: QUANTUM LEAP PROJECTS (PTY) LTD SCRNO0049**

Local Economic Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Enterprise Development			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Reliance on other entities			
Applicant Response	Assessment	Original Score	Recommended Score
		0	
Grounds:		Recommendation:	
Total Original Score		Quantum Allocated	
Total Original Score	Appeal Total Score	Quantum Allocated	Appeal Quantum Allocated
15.5	25.5		
Grounds:		Grounds:	
Recommendation:		Recommendation:	
Minister Comments:		Final Score	Final Quantum Allocation

Delegated Authority: Mr Andre Share _____
Date: 2006/06/26

Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism: M van Schalkwyk _____
Date: